| 1 2 3 | MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| 4 5 | TRACIE L. BROWN (CABN 184339) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6917 Fax: (415) 436-7234 E-Mail: tracie.brown@usdoj.gov | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 8 | | | |
| 9 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 11 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 12 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 12-111 EMC | | |
| 14 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | v.) STIPULATION AND [PR OPOSE D]) ORDER CONTINUING HEARING AND JACQULINE HOEGEL,) EXCLUDING SPEEDY TRIAL TIME (18 | | |
| 17 | Defendant. | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 |) | | |
| 20 | Counsel for the United States and counsel for Defendant Jacquline Hoegel appeared on | | |
| 21 | March 6, 2012 for a status hearing in the District Court, all time having previously been excluded | | |
| 22 | under the Speedy Trial Act. At that hearing, counsel for the United States stated that the | | |
| 23 | government had recently produced over 77,000 pages of documents, and that there were | | |
| 24 | approximately 150 boxes of seized or subpoenaed items and more than 7 hard drives that defense | | |
| 25 | counsel needed to review. Based on the nature of the charges, that proffer relating to the volume | | |
| 26 | of discovery, and the agreement of counsel for Defendant Hoegel, the Court found that this case | | |
| 27 | is properly designated as complex pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(ii), and that time | | |
| 28 | should also be excluded based on effective preparation and continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 | | |
| | STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER CR 12-111 EMC | | |
| l | | | |

U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv). In addition, counsel for the United States explained that co-Defendant William Wise is not currently in the United States, and his exact whereabouts were unknown. Based on that proffer as to the co-Defendant's status and the agreement of counsel for Defendant Hoegel, the Court found that time is properly excluded on the additional basis set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(3)(A).

The Court further set a status date of June 27, 2012 at 2:30 p.m.

SO STIPULATED.

DATED: April 10, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney

/s/ TRACIE L. BROWN Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: April 10, 2012

BRIAN BERSON
Attorney for Defendant Jacquline Hoegel

[PROPOSED] ORDER

For good cause shown and based on the parties' representations and agreement at the March 6, 2012 hearing and as set forth herein, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between March 6, 2012 and June 27, 2012 would unreasonably deny the Defendant and government continuity of counsel, and would deny both counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that, in light of the nature of the charges and the volume of discovery, the case is properly designated as complex pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). In addition, the Court finds that time is properly excluded based on the unavailability of co-Defendant William Wise. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(3)(A). For all of these reasons and as set forth on the record at the March 6, 2012 hearing, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between March 6, 2012 and June 27, 2012 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and Defendant

Jacquline Hoegel in a speedy trial. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between March 6, 2012 and June 27, 2012 shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(3)(A), (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(ii) & (B)(iv).

| | 4/12/12 | |
|-------|---------|--|
| DATFD | | |

